

VOTE NO ON MEASURE ____ - A BAD DEAL FOR OUR CITY

Like many fellow Alamedans, we want to see Alameda Point developed so this historic area can be revitalized to benefit the entire city again. Therefore, we do support a smart, economic development. However, the SunCal proposed development is wrong for the future of Alameda and for all taxpayers. Here's why:

Measure is a developer-sponsored measure, by SunCal, that is one-sided. It circumvents City planning processes and gives the developer **complete control** of Alameda Point.

If passed, Measure guarantees the developer the following rights:

<u>Loses \$51 million in fees</u> for our City that would ordinarily be collected from the Developer for basic infrastructure.

Diverts nearly \$12 million in yearly lease revenue from our City to the Developer.

Locks in a short fall for public improvements and benefits by \$175 million.

<u>Guarantees SunCal can sell</u> Alameda Point to **any** developer – **immediately** with no say from voters or the City.

Leaves the door open for neglect and blight with no actual development required.

<u>Freezes the Developer's already insufficient funding</u> at today's dollar value for the next twenty-five years.

<u>Approves this development without</u> crucial information on environmental mitigation, design or costs until after this election.

Risks raising other taxes and fees when Alameda Point's costs exceed the 2% cap.

Exposes the City of Alameda to potential costly lawsuits.

<u>WE CANNOT AFFORD A PLAN</u> that will leave our City and its taxpayers responsible for millions of dollars if Alameda Point development fails.

<u>WE CANNOT AFFORD TO GIVE</u> total control and complete oversight of Alameda Point to any developer.

<u>WE CANNOT AFFORD TO FUND</u> any portion of the critical infrastructure and promised public benefits with your tax dollars.

WE CANNOT AFFORD UNATTAINABLE PROMISES from the developer.

WE CANNOT AFFORD MEASURE

PLEASE VOTE NO ON MEASURE !

DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OF ARGUMENTS OR REBUTTALS (Elections Code Section 9600)

The undersigned author(s) of the: Argument in Favor (300 words)	Argument Against (300 words)
Rebuttal to Argument in Favor (250 words)	Rebuttal to Argument Against (250 words)
of ballot measure A at the Specia	election
for the City of Alameda to be held on2\2/10	hereby state that such
argument is true and correct to the best of his/her/their knowledge and belief:	
1. BEVERLY JOHNSON Printed name	Signature and date
MAYOR CITY OF ALAMEDI Title to appear on argument	
2. <u>Jous de HAAN</u> Printed name	Signature and date 11/13/09
VICE MAYOR CITY OF ARAN Title to appear on argument	NEDA
3. <u>Kerin Hennedy</u> Printed name	Signature and date M/13/09
Treasurer Cuty of Alaurch Title to appear on argument	
4. BLAKE A. BRYDON Printed name	Signature and date 11/16/09
PAUSTAGENT ALAMGON CHAMGON OF COMMO	
5. DENNIS G. PAGONES Printed name REAL ESTATE BROKER ALAMEDA BUSINESS OWNE Title to appear on argument	Doming Pagones 11/16/08 Signature and date R
IMPORTANT: Indicate below the name of the person to cont Name MELOPU MARR	act and/or receive correspondence. Address ### ###############################
Phone 510 - 522-0414	City/Zin Code ALAMENA, (A. 9450)